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Figure: Major Fusion Breakthrough @ LLNL

- https://www.llnl.gov/news/ national-ignition-facility-achieves-fusion-ignition


Figure: Unlimited Energy and Amazing Machine: Video from ITER

- References (Iter Website)
- https://www.iter.org/


## Motivation and Background

- Magnetically confined plasma applications for which anisotropy is generated by the magnetic field.
- The anisotropy ratio between $D_{\|} / D_{\perp}$ can range from $10^{6}$ (boundary) to $10^{12}$ (core region).
- Computational Challenges:
- Numerical Pollution in the perpendicular diffusion or transport, due to the fast parallel dynamics

For interaction with RF, we need to solve
the anisotropic transport problem in this
"far-SOL" region where the geometfy is
not aligned with the B field.


Figure: Demonstration of Anisotropy

## Motivation and Background

- Magnetically confined plasma applications for which anisotropy is generated by the magnetic field.
- The anisotropy ratio between $D_{\|} / D_{\perp}$ can range from $10^{6}$ (boundary) to $10^{12}$ (core region).
- Computational Challenges:
- Numerical Pollution in the perpendicular diffusion or transport, due to the fast parallel dynamics
- Traditional approach is a field aligned mesh (e.g., SOLPS / UEDGE) which avoids the pollution issue


Figure: Demonstration of Anisotropy

## Motivation and Background

- Magnetically confined plasma applications for which anisotropy is generated by the magnetic field.
- The anisotropy ratio between $D_{\|} / D_{\perp}$ can range from $10^{6}$ (boundary) to $10^{12}$ (core region).
- Computational Challenges:
- Numerical Pollution in the perpendicular diffusion or transport, due to the fast parallel dynamics
- Traditional approach is a field aligned mesh (e.g., SOLPS / UEDGE) which avoids the pollution issue
- For our far-SOL use case where we need non-field aligned coordinates to


Figure: Demonstration of Anisotropy handle the high geometric fidelity in the boundary

## Literature Review: Spatial Discretization

Isotropic diffusion


Anisotropic diffusion


## Literature Review: Spatial Discretization

- Aligned Mesh
- FDM with flux algined coordinates, [Dudson 2009, van Es 2014]
- Finite Volume Method [Crouseilles 2015]
- FEM with anisotropy adaptive mesh [Li 2010]
- Non-aligned Mesh
- FDM with modified interpolation schemes [Soler2020]
- FVM with high-order scheme [Holleman 2013]
- FEM with high-order scheme [Gunter 2007, Held 2016, Giorgiani 2020]
- Spectral element [Meier 2010]
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- Aligned Mesh
- FDM with flux algined coordinates, [Dudson 2009, van Es 2014]
- Finite Volume Method [Crouseilles 2015]
- FEM with anisotropy adaptive mesh [Li 2010]
- Non-aligned Mesh
- FDM with modified interpolation schemes [Soler2020]
- FVM with high-order scheme [Holleman 2013]
- FEM with high-order scheme [Gunter 2007, Held 2016, Giorgiani 2020]
- Spectral element [Meier 2010]
- Fast Solver
- Schwarz [Antonietti 2007], Multilevel [Dobrev 2006], Multigrid [Brenner 2005] Methods
- Subspace Correction [Xu 1992] and Auxiliary Space Preconditioning [S. Nepomnyaschikh 1992, Xu 1996]
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- By High-order Scheme
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## Problem Setting

We consider the following steady state anisotropic diffusion equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\nabla \cdot(\mathbb{D} \nabla u) & =f, \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\
u & =0, \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the diffusion coefficient tensor is given by

$$
\mathbb{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & -b_{2} \\
b_{2} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{\|} & 0 \\
0 & D_{\perp}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & b_{2} \\
-b_{2} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The direction of the anisotropy, or the magnetic field, is given by a unit vector $\mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)^{\top}$. Here $D_{\|}$and $D_{\perp}$ represent the parallel and the perpendicular diffusion coefficient. For example, $D_{\perp}=1$.

## Finite Element Space

Let finite element spaces be

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\mathrm{CG}} & =\left\{v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)|v|_{T} \in P_{k}(T), \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}\right\},  \tag{3}\\
V_{\mathrm{DG}} & =\left\{v \in L^{2}(\Omega)|v|_{T} \in P_{k}(T), \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}\right\} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{k}(T)(k \geq 1)$ denotes the polynomials with degree $\leq k$.


Figure: DG has more DoFs compared to CG on the same mesh.

## Continuous Galerkin FEMs

The $H^{1}$-FEM is to find the numerical solution $u_{h} \in V_{\mathrm{CG}}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{CG}}\left(u_{h}, v\right)=\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \int_{T} f v d T, \forall v \in V_{\mathrm{CG}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the bilinear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{CG}}(w, v)=\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \int_{T} \mathbb{D} \nabla w \cdot \nabla v d T . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
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## Remark

- Fewest Degrees of Freedom (DoFs).
- No conservation preserving property
- The CG scheme will be only used in constructing the preconditioner.


## Discontinuous Galerkin FEMs

The interior penalty discontinuous Galerkin (IPDG) numerical algorithm is to find $u_{h} \in V_{\mathrm{DG}}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\mathrm{DG}}\left(u_{h}, v\right)= & \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \int_{T} f v d T, \forall v \in V_{\mathrm{DG}}, \text { where }  \tag{7}\\
A_{\mathrm{DG}}\left(u_{h}, v\right)= & \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \int_{T} \mathbb{D} \nabla u_{h} \cdot \nabla v d T-\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_{h}} \int_{e}\left\{\left\{\mathbb{D} \nabla u_{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}\right\} \llbracket v \rrbracket d s\right. \\
& -\beta \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_{h}} \int_{e}\{\mathbb{D} \nabla v \cdot \mathbf{n}\} \llbracket u_{h} \rrbracket d s+\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_{h}} \int_{e} \alpha \llbracket u_{h} \rrbracket \llbracket v \rrbracket d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark:

- $\beta=1$ - symmetric IPDG scheme; $\beta=-1$ - non-symmetric IPDG scheme
- For $\beta=1, \alpha$ has to be chosen to ensure stability.
- Features: Flexibility and Conservation


## Choice of Penalty Parameter

We shall only focus on the symmetric IPDG scheme

- Penalty parameter $\alpha$ can be chosen as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{4 k(k+1) D_{\|}}{h}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{4 k(k+1)}{h} \mathbf{n} \cdot(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{n}) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Error Estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h}\right\| \approx \frac{\lambda_{\max }}{\lambda_{\min }} h^{k+1}\|u\|_{k+1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark:

- For our case, $\lambda_{\min }=1=D_{\perp}$ with varying values in $\lambda_{\max }=D_{\|}$.
- The big ratio of anisotropy $\frac{\lambda_{\max }}{\lambda_{\min }}$ may destroy the approximation.
- High order scheme with larger $k$ will HELP!
- We may have bad condition number.


## Accuracy Test 1

Set $f=\sin \pi x$ and Dirichelet BC at $x=1$. Exact solution $u=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \sin \pi x$

(a) Aligned Mesh

(b) Non-aligned Mesh

## Results on (Non-)Aligned with $h=1 / 16$



## Results on (Non-)Aligned with $h=1 / 16$


(a) $D_{\|}=1.0$

(b) $D_{\|}=10^{9}$

## Accuracy Test 2: Annulus Test

Let $\Omega$ be an annulus with $\mathrm{R}=1, \mathrm{r}=0.5$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =\sqrt{\frac{3}{4 r}} \sin (2 \pi r-\pi), \\
b_{1} & =\frac{y}{r}, b_{2}=-\frac{x}{r}, \mathbf{b}=\left(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)^{\top} \\
f & =\sqrt{\frac{3}{4 r^{5}}}\left(4 \pi^{2} r^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \sin (2 \pi r-\pi) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Error Plot $\left|u-u_{h}\right|$ for $D_{\|}=1 \mathrm{E} 6$ on:
(a) $N_{r}=1024, k=1$;
(b) $N_{r}=48, k=2$;
(c) $N_{r}=8, k=3$.


## Accuracy Test 3: Two Magnetic Islands

Let $\Omega=[-1,1] \times[-0.5,0.5]$ and the exact solution be

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =\cos \left(\frac{1}{10} \cos (2 \pi(x-3 / 2))+\cos (\pi y)\right)  \tag{10}\\
\mathbf{b} & =\frac{\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|}, \mathbf{B}=\binom{-\pi \sin (\pi y)}{\frac{2 \pi}{10} \sin (2 \pi(x-3 / 2))} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$




| 1/h | $D_{\\|}=1 \mathrm{E} 1$ |  | $D_{\\|}=1 \mathrm{E} 2$ |  | $D_{\\|}=1 \mathrm{E} 4$ |  | $D_{\\|}=1 \mathrm{E} 6$ |  | $D_{\\|}=1 \mathrm{E} 8$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\\|u-u_{h}\right\\|$ | order | $\left\\|u-u_{h}\right\\|$ | order | $\left\\|u-u_{k}\right\\|$ | order | $\left\\|u-u_{h}\right\\|$ | order | $\left\\|u-u_{h}\right\\|$ | order |
| $k=1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | $5.54 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | 7.12E-02 |  | $7.14 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | 7.14E-02 |  |
| 16 | 8.31E-03 | 1.56 | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.77 | $5.66 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.33 | $5.70 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.33 | $5.70 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.33 |
| 32 | 2.39E-03 | 1.80 | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.22 | $4.73 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.26 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.23 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.23 |
| 64 | 6.33E-04 | 1.92 | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.64 | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.28 | $4.27 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.18 | $4.28 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.18 |
| 128 | 1.62E-04 | 1.97 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.86 | 2.84E-02 | 0.46 | $3.86 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.15 | 3.87E-02 | 0.14 |
| 256 | $4.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.99 | $3.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.94 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.91 | $3.54 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.12 | $3.59 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.11 |
| $k=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 5.68E-03 |  | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| 8 | 6.01E-04 | 3.24 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.14 | $7.80 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.15 | 8.26E-03 | 1.08 | 8.26E-03 | 1.07 |
| 16 | $7.16 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.07 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.49 | 3.22E-03 | 1.28 | $4.91 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.75 | 4.94E-03 | 0.74 |
| 32 | 8.84E-06 | 3.02 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.45 | $4.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.71 | $3.35 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.55 | $3.56 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.47 |
| 64 | 1.10E-06 | 3.00 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.23 | $3.67 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.75 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.11 | $2.85 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.32 |
| 128 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.00 | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.08 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.92 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.00 | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.35 |
| $k=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 7.04E-04 |  | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  | $6.65 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  | $7.34 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  | $7.35 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| 8 | $4.48 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.98 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.16 | $5.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.66 | $9.12 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.01 | $9.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.96 |
| 16 | $2.78 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.01 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.35 | $5.17 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.35 | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -0.21 | 1.33E-03 | $-0.50$ |
| 32 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 4.00 | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 4.13 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.25 | $8.98 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.55 | 8.84E-04 | 0.59 |
| 64 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 4.00 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 4.03 | $3.79 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.16 | 2.32E-06 | 5.27 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.74 |
| 128 | 6.83E-10 | 4.00 | 6.83E-10 | 4.01 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 4.92 | $6.45 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.17 | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.29 |
| $k=4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3.64E-05 |  | $5.19 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  | $9.96 \mathrm{E}-04$ |  | 3.08E-03 |  | 3.14E-03 |  |
| 8 | 1.03E-06 | 5.15 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.11 | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.88 | $9.21 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.06 | $9.92 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.99 |
| 16 | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.08 | $3.76 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.32 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 6.99 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 6.14 | 8.19E-06 | 3.60 |
| 32 | $9.16 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 5.05 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 5.20 | $2.45 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 5.77 | $9.02 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 7.18 | $5.38 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.93 |
| 64 | $2.81 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 5.03 | $2.96 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 5.11 | $5.56 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 5.46 | 4.43E-10 | 4.35 | $6.52 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 3.04 |
| $k=5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2.62E-04 |  | $3.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ |  | 4.12E-03 |  | 5.22E-03 |  | 5.23E-03 |  |
| 4 | 3.12E-06 | 6.39 | 4.81E-06 | 6.27 | $9.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.50 | 3.43E-04 | 3.93 | 3.53E-04 | 3.89 |
| 8 | 7.58E-08 | 5.36 | 8.81E-08 | 5.77 | $5.14 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 7.47 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.43 | $9.97 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.82 |
| 16 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 6.12 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 6.27 | 5.62E-09 | 6.52 | $9.92 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7.32 | 2.01E-06 | 5.63 |
| 32 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 6.03 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 6.09 | $3.92 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 7.16 | $4.08 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 7.93 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7.15 |
| $k=6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  | $3.18 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  | $2.83 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | $5.24 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | 5.29E-02 |  |
| 2 | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.46 | $3.72 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.42 | 7.55E-04 | 5.23 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 5.28 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 5.28 |
| 4 | $3.18 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 5.74 | $5.40 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 6.11 | 5.49E-06 | 7.10 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.61 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.97 |
| 8 | 1.91E-09 | 7.38 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 7.66 | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7.60 | $5.60 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 7.62 | 9.63E-06 | 4.17 |
| 16 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 7.12 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 7.36 | $7.90 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 8.49 | $2.53 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 7.79 | $1.57 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 9.26 |
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We observe:

- High-order Scheme works well for resolving the non-alignment of mesh and anisotropy
- However, with increasing anisotropy, the condition number in corresponding linear system is also increasing

- We need an effective and efficient fast solver
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Figure: (a). Multigrid V-cycle; (b). One-level Auxiliary Space;

- Proposed in [S. Nepomnyaschikh 1992]
- b). Use an auxiliary space as "coarse" space, which is easier to solve


## Illustration of Auxiliary Space Pre-conditioner (ASP)



Figure: (a). Multigrid V-cycle; (b). One-level Auxiliary Space; (c). Multi-grid Auxiliary Space.

- Proposed in [S. Nepomnyaschikh 1992]
- b). Use an auxiliary space as "coarse" space, which is easier to solve
- c). Replace the "coarse" solver by existing solvers/preconditioners


## Auxiliary Space Pre-conditioner - CG Scheme

Why CG Scheme as the ASP?

- Less DoFs
- Well developed CG - fast solver
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Given $\boldsymbol{f} \in V_{\mathrm{DG}}^{\prime}$, find $\boldsymbol{u} \in V_{\mathrm{DG}}$ such that

$$
\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{DG}} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{f}
$$

- As in [Antonietti 2017], choose the product of auxiliary spaces: $\bar{V}_{\mathrm{DG}}=V_{\mathrm{DG}} \times V_{\mathrm{CG}}$
- Since $V_{\mathrm{CG}} \subset V_{\mathrm{DG}}$, we can use subset decomposition $V_{\mathrm{DG}}=V_{\mathrm{DG}}+V_{\mathrm{CG}}$.
Introduce the auxiliary space preconditioner, $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}}: V_{\mathrm{DG}}^{\prime} \mapsto V_{\mathrm{DG}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\mathrm{DG}}=\underbrace{S_{\mathrm{DG}}}_{\text {smoother: Gauss-Seidel }}+\underbrace{\Pi}_{\text {inclusion operator }} A_{\mathrm{CG}}^{-1} \underbrace{\Pi^{\top}}_{L^{2} \text { projection }}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Pi: V_{\mathrm{CG}} \rightarrow V_{\mathrm{DG}}$ and $\Pi^{\top}: V_{\mathrm{DG}}^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{\mathrm{CG}}^{\prime}$.

## Remark:

Here we need to invert $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{CG}}$ exactly. We shall first show the effectiveness of the proposed pre-conditioner.

## Efficiency Test 1 - Solve $H^{1}$-problem exactly

- Set $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}}=\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{DG}}+\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{CG}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\top}$
- Solve pre-conditioned system by GMRES with tol $=1 \mathrm{E}-6$
- $\mathbf{b}=[0,1]^{\top}$ on unstructured triangular mesh


## Efficiency Test 1 - Solve $H^{1}$-problem exactly

- Set $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}}=\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{DG}}+\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{CG}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\top}$
- Solve pre-conditioned system by GMRES with tol $=1 \mathrm{E}-6$
- $\mathbf{b}=[0,1]^{\top}$ on unstructured triangular mesh

| $D_{\\|}$ | $k=1$ | $k=2$ | $k=3$ | $k=4$ | $k=5$ | $k=6$ | $k=7$ | $k=8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+2$ | 12 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+4$ | 20 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+6$ | 20 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+8$ | 20 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 |

Table: Iterations for $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}}$ (solve the $H^{1}$ problem exactly) when $h=1 / 10$ on non-aligned mesh.

## Efficiency Test 1 - Solve $H^{1}$-problem exactly

- Set $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}}=\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{DG}}+\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{CG}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\top}$
- Solve pre-conditioned system by GMRES with tol $=1 \mathrm{E}-6$
- $\mathbf{b}=[0,1]^{\top}$ on unstructured triangular mesh
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| 1 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+2$ | 12 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+4$ | 20 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+6$ | 20 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+8$ | 20 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
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Table: Iterations for $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}}$ (solve the $H^{1}$ problem exactly) when $h=1 / 10$ on non-aligned mesh.

## Remark:

- Almost constant iteration number $\longrightarrow$ Effective and Robust
- However, $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{CG}}^{-1}$ challenging with large size, high polynomial degree, strong anisotropy. $\rightarrow$ use preconditioner $B_{\mathrm{CG}} \approx A_{\mathrm{CG}}^{-1}$


## Auxiliary Space Pre-conditioner (Efficiency)

$B_{\mathrm{DG}}=S_{\mathrm{DG}}+\Pi \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{CG}}^{-1} \Pi^{\top}$, will be replaced by

## Auxiliary Space Pre-conditioner (Efficiency)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}} & =\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{DG}}+\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{CG}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\top} \text {, will be replaced by } \\
\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG} \text { inact }} & =\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{DG}}+\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{CG}} \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\top} \text {, here, } \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{CG}}=\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{CG}}+\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{MG}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Auxiliary Space Pre-conditioner (Efficiency)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}} & =\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{DG}}+\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{CG}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\top}, \text { will be replaced by } \\
\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}}^{\text {inexact }} & =\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{DG}}+\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{CG}} \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\top}, \text { here, } \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{CG}}=\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{CG}}+\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{MG}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Here $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{MG}}$ : multi-grid solver for CG-FEM.
- $\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{CG}}$ : Schwarz-type block line smoother [Pavarino 1994, Antonietti 2017]


## Error plot for $\mathbf{b}=[1,1]^{\top} / \sqrt{2}$ and exact $u=0$

Let $\Omega=[-1,1]^{2}$ and start the iterative solver with a random initial guess.

(a) before smoothing

(b) with perpendicular line smoother

## Error plot for circular b and exact $u=0$


(a) before smoothing

(b) with perpendicular line smoother

- high frequency pattern along the direction perpendicular to the anisotropy


## Illustration of Line Smoother for $\mathbf{b}=[0,1]^{\top}$


(a) mesh

(b) dropping aligned edges

- Weights: $\omega_{e} \leftarrow \frac{1.0}{|\cos (\theta)|+10^{-6}}, \cos (\theta) \leftarrow \frac{\boldsymbol{t}^{\top} \mathbf{b}_{\text {mid }}}{\|\boldsymbol{t}\|\left\|\mathbf{b}_{\text {mid }}\right\|}$
- For example, we choose threshold $\eta=2.0$



うの


うの


うの


うの


うの


うの


うの


うの



うの

















の人@



の人@



っの@


(c) Path Cover

(b) DoFs of one block for $P_{2}$ basis

## Fast Solver Test 1: $\mathbf{b}=[0,1]^{\top}$

- $\mathbf{S}_{\text {DG }}$ : symmetric block Gauss-Seidel smoother using vertex patches
- $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{CG}}$ : block line smoother
- $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MG}}$ : NG-Solve build-in MG method for high-order CG
- $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{DG}}^{-1} \approx \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{DG}}+\Pi \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{CG}} \Pi^{\top}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{CG}}=\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{CG}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{MG}} \approx \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{CG}}^{-1}$
- tol $_{\mathrm{DG}}=10^{-6}$ and tol $\mathrm{CG}=10^{-4}$


## Fast Solver Test 1: $\mathbf{b}=[0,1]^{\top}$

- $\mathbf{S}_{\text {DG }}$ : symmetric block Gauss-Seidel smoother using vertex patches
- $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{CG}}$ : block line smoother
- $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{MG}}$ : NG-Solve build-in MG method for high-order CG
- $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{DG}}^{-1} \approx \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{DG}}+\Pi \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{CG}} \Pi^{\top}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{CG}}=\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{CG}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{MG}} \approx \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{CG}}^{-1}$
- tol $_{\mathrm{DG}}=10^{-6}$ and tol $\mathrm{CG}=10^{-4}$

| $D_{\\|}$ | $k=1$ | $k=2$ | $k=3$ | $k=4$ | $k=5$ | $k=6$ | $k=7$ | $k=8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| number of iterations for $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}}^{\text {inexact }}$ when $h=1 / 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+2$ | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+4$ | 17 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+6$ | 15 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+8$ | 12 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

## Fast Solver Test 2: Circular Test



Figure: Illustration of computational Mesh level 1 (left) and line smoother (right).

Number of Iterations for Exact Solver

| $D_{\\|}$ | $k=1$ | $k=2$ | $k=3$ | $k=4$ | $k=5$ | $k=6$ | $k=7$ | $k=8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h=1 / 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+2$ | 22 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+4$ | 50 | 52 | 33 | 20 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+6$ | 49 | 61 | 66 | 41 | 39 | 20 | 9 | 9 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+8$ | 49 | 66 | 70 | 52 | 39 | 13 | 11 | 11 |
| $h=1 / 12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+2$ | 21 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+4$ | 86 | 61 | 27 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+6$ | 94 | 114 | 91 | 56 | 17 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+8$ | 94 | 122 | 125 | 41 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+2$ | 21 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+4$ | 111 | 58 | 22 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+6$ | 205 | 191 | 99 | 52 | 17 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+8$ | 210 | 249 | 178 | 41 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 9 |

Number of Iterations for In-exact Solver

| $D_{\\|}$ | $k=1$ | $k=2$ | $k=3$ | $k=4$ | $k=5$ | $k=6$ | $k=7$ | $k=8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h=1 / 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+2$ | 20 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+4$ | 51 | 52 | 27 | 17 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+6$ | 54 | 65 | 64 | 37 | 24 | 17 | 8 | 16 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+8$ | 57 | 73 | 70 | 48 | 10 | 14 | 9 | 10 |
| $h=1 / 12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+2$ | 18 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+4$ | 79 | 54 | 20 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+6$ | 98 | 116 | 84 | 32 | 19 | 16 | 8 | 9 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+8$ | 109 | 129 | 85 | 44 | 16 | 12 | 9 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+2$ | 21 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+4$ | 111 | 58 | 24 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 10 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+6$ | 205 | 191 | 122 | 87 | 19 | 16 | 8 | 9 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+8$ | 210 | 249 | 178 | 44 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 9 |

## Accuracy Test for Annulus Test with Varying Values in $D_{\|}$


(a). $k=1$

(b). $k=3$

(c). $k=5$

## Fast Solver Test 3: WEST tokamak 2D with circular b

A Gaussian source is diffused towards an identical sink

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{s c} & =D_{\|} \exp \left(-r_{s c}^{2} / 0.05^{2}\right) \\
f_{s k} & =-D_{\|} \exp \left(-r_{s k}^{2} / 0.05^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_{s c}=\sqrt{(x-1.5)^{2}+y^{2}}$ and
$r_{s k}=\sqrt{(x+1.5)^{2}+y^{2}}$. The magnetic field direction is chosen as $\mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)^{\top}$ where

$$
b_{1}=\frac{y}{r}, b_{2}=-\frac{x}{r} .
$$



Figure: Plot of solution with $D_{\|}=1 \mathrm{E} 4$.

## Illustration of line smoother



## Numerical Performance for Solvers

| $D_{\\|}$ | $k=1$ | $k=2$ | $k=3$ | $k=4$ | $k=5$ | $k=6$ | $k=7$ | $k=8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| number of iterations for $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}}$ when $h=1 / 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+2$ | 17 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+4$ | 21 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 13 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+6$ | 21 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+8$ | 21 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 18 |

number of iterations for $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{DG}}^{\text {inexact }}$ when $h=1 / 10$

| 1 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+2$ | 17 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+4$ | 27 | 26 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+6$ | 28 | 30 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}+8$ | 28 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 |

## Conclusions and Future Work

## Conclusion

- High order scheme can resolve the numerical pollution on the non-aligned mesh
- Auxiliary Space Preconditioner (ASP) is efficient and effective in solving the linear system with large anisotropy


## Future Work

- Numerical analysis
- Incorporate with M. Stowell and D. Copeland in MFEM implementation
- More applications with complicated magnetic fields
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