UK Atomic Energy Authority

# Comparing Thermo-Mechanical Solves in MOOSE and MFEM

no.

25

25

65

60

8.93

63

10

William Ellis

## **A Fusion Environment**



- The environment inside a fusion reactor is a materials nightmare
  - High heat fluxes,
  - High temperatures
  - Static and pulsed magnetic fields

UK Atomic Energy Authority

- We need to model how components react in this environment
  - Thermal expansion will be the focus of this talk

Figure 1: A tokamak's innards

## **Thermal Expansion**



**Figure 2:** Thermal expansion of a simple beam model, showcasing the stresses introduced when thermal expansion is constrained.

UK Atomic Energy Authority

## Thermal Expansion Modelling: Current Solutions



- Doesn't scale as well as alternatives
- Discrete physics modules
  - Lower degree of coupling
- And of course the cost ...
- Much more scalable than ANSYS

XX

UK Atomic Energy Authority

- But has limited FE types
- Limits use with electromagnetic problems
- Limited GPU support



#### Figure 4: MFEM results for beam example.

XXX

UK Atomic Energy Authority

## **Integrators and Initial Testing**

5

## **The Hypervapotron Model**

- What is it?
  - An efficient heat exchanger
  - More importantly, our fusion relevant test geometry
- Problem definition
  - Gaussian heat distribution across the top surface (Figure 5)
  - Convective heat transfer boundary applied in channels (Figure 6)
  - Fixed displacement boundaries on bottom



*Figure 5:* Hypervapotron model with temperature distribution shown across the top surface



*Figure 6:* Cross section of the hypervapotron, showing the internal fins along the channel length



## **Solve Methods**

- MOOSE solves thermal expansion slightly differently
  - Thermal Expansion is a MOOSE 'material' object
  - Temperature is solved for first
  - Temperature becomes a coefficient for thermal expansion linear form
- MFEM can solve for both displacement and temperature in one monolithic matrix
  - Can also do the MOOSE method
- Results are included for both methods



Figure 7: Example graph showcasing all the solve methods

**Hypervapotron Results** 



Figure 10: Number of linear iterations needed to solve

*Figure 11: Time taken for the linear solve to complete* 



UK Atomic Energy Authority

### What next?

- Results are looking good for MFEM and HYPRE so far!
- Further scaling testing
  - Initial results looks good for MFEM/ Hypre
- GPU testing
- Implement non-linear mechanics formulations